Influence of Projected Outfalls in the Plata River on Limited Water Use Zones
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Abstract
A numerical simulation study is presented, which provides a diagnosis about the present limited use zones on the coastal waters of the Plata River. The model is later applied to a future scenario, in which a combination of master plans by the Water Company and by the authority of the most contaminated urban watershed, which includes building a new outfall and extending the present one, is implemented. The increase of the security margin for the water intakes, and the recuperation of significant parts of the coastal zone for recreational uses are highlighted.
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INTRODUCTION
The coastal waters of the Plata “River” – a freshwater, wide, shallow estuary – adjacent to the Metropolitan Region of Buenos Aires (MRBA), Argentina (Figure 1), are polluted enough to prevent any recreational use, according to international water quality standards. Even the present outfall – which discharges only part of the sewage, at about 2.5 km from the coast – generates intermittent pollution effects on the coast. The two water intakes for human consumption are located in zones where the quality is good enough to comply with national regulations, after conventional treatment, but the security margin is relatively low. Preservation of biota cannot be guaranteed in the coastal zone.

Figure 1. Location of the Metropolitan Region of Buenos Aires by the Plata River.

A Master Plan of the Water Company, in combination with a Master Plan for the decontamination of the most significant urban watershed – the Matanza-Riachuelo Basin –, includes building a new outfall (total length about 11.5 km, mean discharge about 22 m$^3$/s), and extending the present one (total length about 7.5 km, mean discharge about 28 m$^3$/s), together with the construction of physical-treatment plants, and a coastal dry-weather interceptor conduit (Figure 2). The implementation of these plans will redefine the location and extension of the limited use zones.
A numerical simulation study was undertaken in order to establish the present limited use zones, and predict the situation for the project scenario.

NUMERICAL MODEL

In the first place, a 2D hydrodynamics model was built in order to simulate the water level and velocity distributions within the whole Inner Plata River (about 200 km long, and between 50 and 100 km wide), driven by the oceanic tidal wave, the fluvial discharges from the main tributaries – the Paraná and Uruguay rivers –, and the wind (Figure 3). Software MIKE 21 FM was used. A finite element mesh with higher resolution in the problem zone was built. The last available bathymetric data was used to build the Digital Elevation Model of the river bed. Roughness was parameterized with Manning coefficient, which was set to a value arising from previous studies (Jaime & Menendez 1999, Re & Menendez 2003). The time step was small enough to adequately represent the surface waves (3.5 seconds).
The hydrodynamic model was validated by comparing its results with time series and statistics of tidal level data at various stations, records of water current velocity at three stations, and a buoy trajectory (Menendez et al. 2009, 2011).

A pollutant transport model was implemented, driven by the hydrodynamic model. Software ECOLAB, from DHI, was used. Ten different water quality parameters were considered: DO, BOD, E. Coli (or Fecal Coliforms, which correlate strongly), Ammonia, Nitrate, Total Phosphorous, Phenols, Detergents, Cr, and Pb. Transformation (first order) reactions were defined for the former five of them; the remaining ones were considered as conservative. The pollutant loads from the MRBA (see Figure 3) were estimated based on a series of eventual measurements on each discharge, including the present outfall at Berazategui. Additionally, the pollutant loads from the Paraná River were imposed and adjusted in order to represent the background concentrations, especially significant for Cr and Pb.

The calibration of this water quality model (through adjustment of the reaction constants within their practical ranges) was undertaken using the monitoring data obtained during all the surveys performed for the coastal zone, since 1992. Comparisons were made for time mean spatial distributions, statistical distributions at stations along cuts parallel to the coast, and detailed and nearly instantaneous values around the outfall discharge (Figure 4) (Menendez et al. 2009, 2011).

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Distribution of instantaneous concentrations of Fecal Coliforms around the present Berazategui outfall mouth.

**LIMITED USE ZONES**

Eight different water uses were identified: (I) Drinking Water after Conventional Treatment; (II) Primary Contact Recreation; (III) Secondary Contact Recreation; (IV) Passive Recreation; (V) Preservation of Aquatic Life under Long Exposure; (VI) Preservation of Aquatic Life under Short Exposure; (VII) Preservation of Fish without Sub-Lethal Effects; (VIII) Preservation of Fish without Lethal Effects. They were defined by establishing a set of criteria on limiting concentrations for the 10 modeled water quality parameters, mainly based on regulations for different countries. These criteria are presented in Table 1.
Table 1. Aptitude Criteria for Water Use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Use Type</th>
<th>(I)</th>
<th>(II)</th>
<th>(III)</th>
<th>(IV)</th>
<th>(V)</th>
<th>(VI)</th>
<th>(VII)</th>
<th>(VIII)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DO (mg/l)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD (mg/l)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Coli (MPN/100ml)</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^2$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (mg N/l)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate (mg N/l)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (µg/l)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols (µg/l)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detergents (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr (µg/l)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>93,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb (µg/l)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applying the criteria of Table 1 to the results obtained with the model for the present situation, the location of the limited use zones were determined, identifying in each case the influence zone of each limiting parameter. The following results arose: (i) Use I is limited along the whole coastline; the water intakes for human consumption lie beyond the limited use zone; the limiting parameter is, basically, E.Coli (Figure 5); (ii) Use II is also limited along the whole coastline, with E.Coli being the limiting parameter; (iii) Use III is not limited in a few patches along the coastline; E.Coli is again the limiting parameter (Figure 6); (iv) Use IV is only limited (basically due to BOD) around the discharge from the Matanza-Riachuelo Basin; (v) Use V is limited throughout the “Paraná River lane”, with (background) Phosphorus being the limiting parameter; (vi) Use VI is limited over a major part of the “Paraná River lane”, with Phosphorus being the limiting parameter (Figure 7); (vii) A few patches on the coastline are apt for Use VII; the limiting parameter is Ammonia; (viii) Use VIII is mainly limited around the discharge of the Matanza-Riachuelo Basin, and the present Berazategui outfall mouth, with Ammonia being the limiting parameter. In particular, the present Berazategui outfall only have beneficial effects, regarding the coastline, for uses IV and VIII, but it is not able to avoid inability of the coastline to support the remaining water uses.
Figure 5. Limited Zone for Use I. Present situation.

Figure 6. Limited Zone for Use III. Present situation.
Figure 7. Limited Zone for Use VI. Present situation.

PROJECT SCENARIO
The water quality model was later applied to establish the limited use zones for the project scenario, in which coastal loads are intercepted, the pollutant loads from the Matanza-Riachuelo River are drastically reduced, and the two outfalls are built. The model shows that: (i) there is a significant increase of the security margin for the water intakes (Figure 8); (ii) the width of the coastal limiting zone for Use II diminishes significantly, even disappearing at a few patches; (iii) recuperation of significant parts of the coastal zone for Use III is attained, due to the nearly disappearance of the restrictive effect from E.Coli (Figure 9); (iv) the limited use zone for Use IV reduces slightly; (v) no change occurs for Use V, as it is limited by the background concentration; (vi) the limited use zone for Use VI increases slightly (Figure 10). The benefits produced by the presence of the outfalls have an environmental ‘cost’: the appearance of extended limited used zones around the outfalls outlet. In particular, E.Coli and BDO are the parameters which impose those restrictions for Uses I to III.
Figure 8. Limited Zone for Use I. Project scenario.

Figure 9. Limited Zone for Use III. Project scenario.
The sensitivity of the system response to changes in the outfalls effluent properties was analyzed. In the first test, the discharges were inverted. In the second test, secondary treatment (without disinfection) was assumed for both effluents. Only small variations of the extension of the limited use zones around the outfalls outlet were observed. In the second case, this is due to the fact that the limiting parameter is E.Coli, on which secondary treatment has only a minor effect.

CONCLUSIONS

Through a numerical modeling approach, the spatial extension of limited use zones can be efficiently determined. In particular, the role of outfalls is clearly highlighted, both as leading to the improvement of the water quality in the coastal zone, and producing limited use zones around their outlets.

REFERENCES